



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,809	06/20/2003	Keith C. Hong	2001-183	9261
27569	7590	05/05/2009	EXAMINER	
PAUL AND PAUL 2000 MARKET STREET SUITE 2900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@PAULANDPAUL.COM
claire@paulandpaul.com
fpanna@paulandpaul.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte KEITH C. HONG, HUSNU M. KALKANOGLU,
MING L. SHIAO, ANNE B. HARDY, JAMES A. SALVATORE,
and ANDREW G. JOHNSON, JR.

Application 10/600,809
Technology Center 1700

Mailed: May 6, 2009

Before ESTHER L. DOVE *Paralegal*
DOVE, *Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on June 4 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

Application 10/600,809

The full text translation that was not machine generated of the following prior art reference cited on page 2 of the February 15, 2008 Examiner's Answer was not located in the Image File Wrapper (IFW):

Ine JP 2002018358A January 2002

A full text translation that was not machine generated is needed to process this appeal.

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed on May 2, 2008. It is not apparent from the record that the examiner properly considered the IDSS submitted nor notified Appellants of why their submissions did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Application 10/600,809

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to 1) supply a copy of the full text translation of the listed prior art, 2) to consider the IDS of May 2, 2008, 3) give written notification to Appellants regarding the result of the Examiner's consideration and 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

ELD

PAUL AND PAUL
2000 MARKET STREET
SUITE 2900
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103